Synthesis of N-Aryl β -Amino Alcohols by Trifluoroacetic Acid Promoted Multicomponent Coupling of Aziridines, Arynes, and Water

Tony Roy, Dnyaneshwar R. Baviskar, and Akkattu T. Biju*

Organic Chemistry Division, CSIR-National Chemical Laboratory (CSIR[-N](#page-5-0)CL), Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pune - 411008, India

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [A transition-m](#page-5-0)etal-free, three-component coupling involving N-substituted aziridines, arynes, and water promoted by trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) has been reported. The reaction furnished medicinally important N-aryl β -amino alcohol derivatives in moderate to good yields. In addition, the use of azetidines in this reaction afforded N-aryl γ-amino alcohol derivatives.

 β -Amino alcohols are an important class of compounds having widespread applications in the pharmaceutical industry.¹ For example, the β -amino alcohol derivative salbutamol (A) is a selective β_2 -receptor agoni[st](#page-6-0) used in the treatment of asthma (Figure 1).² Moreover, terbutaline (B) is used in the short term

asthma treatment, 3 and propranolol (C) is used in the treatment of high blood pressure and heart diseases.⁴ In addition, β -amino [al](#page-6-0)cohols are used as ligands (pybox ligands D) in enantioselective transformations in combination [w](#page-6-0)ith organometallic reagents.⁵ Furthermore, they are used as chiral auxiliaries (Evans's auxiliary E) in organic synthesis, 6 and for the synthesis of various [N](#page-6-0)-heterocyclic carbenes (triazolium salt F), which are widely used for the umpolung of ald[eh](#page-6-0)ydes in organocatalysis.⁷ Given the importance of β -amino alcohols in drug discovery and in organic synthesis, development of flexible synthetic route[s](#page-6-0) to this moiety is highly desirable.

The ring opening of aziridines with H_2O under the protic or Lewis acid activation is a practical method to access β -amino alcohols (Scheme 1, eq 1). 8 Moreover, the reaction of epoxides with anilines is a useful protocol for accessing the N-arylated β amino alcohols (eq 2). 9 [H](#page-6-0)owever, this method suffers from limitations such as low reactivity of anilines, use of excess

Scheme 1. Synthesis of N-Substituted β -Amino Alcohols

Conventional methods to N-substituted β -amino alcohols

$$
R^{1} \xrightarrow{N} H_{2}O \xrightarrow{R^{2}NH} R^{1} \xrightarrow{R^{2}NH} OH^{(1)} R^{1} \xrightarrow{O} H^{1} H_{2}N \xrightarrow{R^{2}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{OH} N_{2} R^{2} (2)
$$

$$
R^{1}\sqrt{Q}\sqrt{\frac{R\cdot N^{\cdot}}{R^{2}}\frac{R\cdot N^{\cdot}}{R^{1}}}\sqrt{\frac{R^{2}(3)}{R^{1}}\frac{R\cdot N^{\cdot}}{R^{1}}\frac{R\cdot N^{\cdot}}{C u\left(1\right)}\frac{R\cdot N^{\cdot}}{R^{1}}\frac{R\cdot N^{\cdot}}{C u\left(1\right)}R^{1}}{C u\left(1\right)}R^{1}}R^{2}\left(4\right)
$$

Synthesis of N-substituted β -amino alcohols employing arynes

$$
R^2
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{11}} + \frac{1}{N} + H_2O \underbrace{\frac{F\text{ source}}{TFA(1.0 \text{ equity})}}_{\text{(this work)}} R^2
$$

$$
R^2
$$

$$
R^3
$$

$$
R^2
$$

(5)

anilines and high temperature, and concerns regarding regioselectivity. In 2000, Fagnou and Lautens developed a Rh-catalyzed aminolysis of vinyl epoxides for the synthesis of N-aryl β-amino alcohols (eq 3).¹⁰ Moreover, Job and Buchwald demonstrated the Cu-catalyzed arylation route to the synthesis of N-aryl β -amino alcohols (eq [4\)](#page-6-0).^{11a} Intriguingly, however, the transition-metal-free synthesis of N-aryl β-amino alcohols using arynes 12 as the aryl source, to t[he b](#page-6-0)est of our knowledge, is unknown. Herein, we report the transition-metal-free, trifluoroacetic [ac](#page-6-0)id (TFA)-promoted three-component coupling involving arynes, aziridines, and H₂O for the rapid access to N-aryl β amino alcohols.¹³ Notably, the formation of a zwitterion from arynes and aziridines has been known as early as 1972 .^{12,14} Moreover, the [re](#page-6-0)action of arynes with aziridines, where the solvent CH₃CN has been incorporated as the third compo[nent,](#page-6-0) has been recently disclosed by Larionov and co-workers.¹⁵

Received: August 4, 2015 Published: October 7, 2015

Inspired by the importance of β -amino alcohols in pharmaceutical industry, the present study was initiated by treating the aryne precursor $1a^{16}$ with N-benzyl aziridine $2a$ and $H₂O$. When aryne was generated from 1a using KF and 18crown-6, the expected β -amino [alc](#page-6-0)ohol 3a was isolated in 28% yield (Table 1, entry 1). The use of CsF as the fluoride source

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^{a}

	Вn TMS	F source H_2O		Bn OН
1a	Tf Ph 2a	THF, additive temp, 12 h		3a
entry	F^- source	additive (mol %)	temp $({}^{\circ}C)$	yield of 3a $(\%)^b$
$\mathbf{1}$	$KF/18$ -crown-6		30	28
2^c	CsF		30	13
3	TBAF		30	28
$\overline{4}$	KF/18-crown-6		-10 to 30	10
5	$KF/18$ -crown-6		60	19
6	KF/18-crown-6	TFA (20)	30	55
7	$KF/18$ -crown-6	TFA (50)	30	64
8	KF/18-crown-6	TFA (100)	30	69
9	KF/18-crown-6	TFA (100)	-10 to 30	72
10	$KF/18$ -crown-6	TfOH (100)	-10 to 30	$<$ 5
11	$KF/18$ -crown-6	$p\text{TSA-H,}O(100)$	-10 to 30	$<$ 5
12	$KF/18$ -crown-6	MeSO ₃ H(100)	-10 to 30	$<$ 5
13 ^c	CsF	TFA (100)	-10 to 30	20
14	TBAF	TFA (100)	-10 to 30	45

 a^a Standard conditions: 1a (0.375 mmol), 2a (0.25 mmol), H_2O (0.5 mmol), fluoride source (0.75 mmol), THF (1.0 mL), stirred for 12 h. Yields of isolated products are given. ^c The reaction performed using $CH₃CN$ as the solvent.

returned inferior results (entry 2). The utility of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) provided comparable results (entry 3), but the reaction also furnished the α -fluoro- β -amino acid derivative recently reported by Wu and Sha in 30% yield.¹⁷ Performing the reaction under cold or hot conditions did not improve the reactivity (entry 4 and 5). At this stage, [we](#page-6-0) considered addition of Brønsted acids as additives. Interestingly, the use of 20 mol % of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) improved the yield of 3a to 55% (entry 6).¹⁸ Increasing the amount of TFA enhanced the yield of product 3a (entries 7 and 8). When the reaction was carried out using 1.[0 e](#page-6-0)quiv of TFA at −10 to 30 °C, the desired product 3a was formed in 72% yield (entry 9).¹⁹ Disappointingly, the use of other Brønsted acids such as triflic acid, p-toluenesulfonic acid, and methanesulfonic acid deliv[ere](#page-6-0)d only traces of the expected product (entries 10− 12). Moreover, using TFA as additive, the use of CsF and TBAF as fluoride sources were also not found to be beneficial (entry 13 and 14).

Next, the scope and limitations of this reaction were examined. First, we evaluated the variation of aziridines (Scheme 2). A series of aziridines synthesized from linear, branched, and even sterically demanding aliphatic amines underwent a smooth coupling reaction promoted by TFA leading to the formation of the N-alkyl N-phenyl β -amino alcohols in good yields (3a−3g). The aziridine derived from furfuryl amine afforded the desired product 3h in 48% yield by using TBAF as the fluoride source. Disappointingly, aziridines derived from sulfonamides afforded only traces of the N-aryl βamino alcohols. The substituents at the 2-aryl moiety of

Scheme 2. Synthesis of N-Aryl β-Amino Alcohols: Scope of Aziridines

^aGeneral conditions: 1a (0.75 mmol), 2 (0.50 mmol), H_2O (1.0 mmol), TFA (0.50 mmol), KF (1.5 mmol), 18-crown-6 (1.5 mmol), THF (2.0 mL) , -10 to 30 °C, 12 h. Yields of the isolated products are given. $\frac{b}{b}$ Reaction was performed on 0.25 mmol scale with TBAF as fluoride source. ^cReaction was performed on 0.25 mmol scale.

aziridines did not affect the outcome of the reaction, and in all cases the expected products were isolated in good yields (3i− 3l). Furthermore, alkyl substitution at the 2-position of aziridine was well-tolerated, and the corresponding products were isolated in excellent yields (3m, 3n) thus demonstrating the versatility of the present reaction.

Then, we studied the generality of this reaction with differently substituted arynes (Table 2). Gratifyingly, electronically dissimilar 4,5-disubstituted symmetrical arynes generated from precursors 1b−1e readily afforded the N-aryl β -amino alcohols in good yields upon [treatmen](#page-2-0)t with aziridine 2a and H2O promoted by TFA under the optimized conditions (3o− 3r). Moreover, 3,6-dimethyl aryne generated from 1f and the symmetrical naphthalyne generated from 1g resulted in the formation of the desired products in moderate yields (3s−3t). Interestingly, the reaction of $2a$ and $H₂O$ with unsymmetrical aryne generated from 1h furnished 2-naphthyl amino alcohol 3t in 59% yield and with an excellent regioselectivity of >20:1. Additionally, the unsymmetrical 4-methyl aryne generated from 1i afforded the mixture of regioisomers in a 1:1 ratio and 70% yield. Furthermore, the 4-fluoroaryne formed from 1j resulted in the formation of a mixture of regioisomers 3v and 3v′ in 3:1 ratio and 65% yield, thus further expanding the scope of this aryne three-component coupling.

The proposed mechanism of this TFA-promoted N-aryl β amino alcohol synthesis is shown in Scheme 3. One possibility is the nucleophilic attack of aziridine on ary ne^{20} derived from 1a generating the zwitterionic i[ntermediat](#page-2-0)e G, which is subsequently protonated by $TFA/H₂O$ to for[m t](#page-6-0)he quaternary ammonium salt H. The nucleophilic attack of trifluoroacetate anion (most likely proceeding via S_N^2 pathway) on intermediate H generates the ester intermediate I, which on hydrolysis affords the desired product 3. Alternatively, aziridine opening using H_2O promoted by TFA can result in the

Table 2. Variation of the Aryne Moiety^a

^aGeneral conditions: 1 (0.75 mmol), 2a (0.50 mmol), H_2O (1.0 mmol), TFA (0.5 mmol), KF (1.5 mmol), 18-crown-6 (1.5 mmol), THF (2.0 mL), −10 to 30 °C, 12 h. Yields of the isolated products are given. $\frac{b}{b}$ Reaction was performed on 0.25 mmol scale. $\frac{c}{c}$ The regioisomer ratio was determined by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of crude reaction mixture.

Scheme 3. Plausible Mechanism of the Reaction

formation of the amino alcohol J, which on N-arylation using aryne can result in the formation of 3 .^{21,22}

To shed light on the mechanism of this transformation, we have carried out several mechanistic [expe](#page-6-0)riments. When the reaction was performed using D_2O instead of H_2O , the desired product 3a was formed in 66% yield with 70% D incorporation at the 2-position of the ring (Scheme 4, eq 6). This indicates

Scheme 4. Mechanistic Experiments

the role of H_2O in protonating the aryl anion intermediate G generated from aziridine and aryne (Scheme 3). $19,23,24$ Moreover, to get insight into the role of TFA in the protonation of aryl anion intermediate G, a reacti[on was](#page-6-0) carried out using TFA-d (eq 7). Surprisingly, this reaction afforded 3a in 68% yield with no incorporation of D at the 2 position of the ring. This shows that TFA is not involved in the protonation of the aryl anion intermediate. To gain information on the role of TFA in this reaction, we have performed additional experiments using CF_3CO_2K (eq 8). Under this condition, 3a was formed in 70% yield suggesting the formation of $CF₃CO₂K$ under the present reaction conditions. Thus, it is likely that CF_3CO_2K is formed under the reaction conditions from TFA and KF. Furthermore, when the reaction of 1a and 2a was performed using O^{18} labeled H_2O , the products incorporating O^{16} and O^{18} were formed in 60% yield and a 7:1 ratio (eq 9). The preferential formation of product incorporating O^{16} indicates that the alcohol oxygen is derived from TFA rather than H₂O.

Furthermore, the reaction of enantiomerically pure aziridine (S) -2b with 1a and H₂O under the optimized conditions afforded the chiral β -amino alcohol derivative (R) -3b in 73% yield and a 98:2 enantiomeric ratio (eq 10). The formation of

Reaction using enantiomerically pure aziridine

(R)-3b in high enantiomeric ratio rules out the possibility of an S_N1 opening of the intermediate H (Scheme 3). Moreover, the practical application of the present method has been demonstrated by performing the reaction in gram scale, which afforded the desired product 3a in 69% yield (eq 11).

Interestingly, this TFA-promoted reaction is not limited to the synthesis of β -amino alcohols, but instead applicable to the synthesis of γ-amino alcohols as well. Treatment of 1a with 2 phenyl azetidine 4a and H_2O in the presence of TFA and KF/ 18-crown-6 resulted in the formation of the N-phenyl γ-amino alcohol 5a in 71% yield (eq 12). Moreover, the symmetrical naphthalyne generated from 1g on reaction with 4a and H_2O afforded the desired γ -amino alcohol 5g in 51% yield.

Finally, the N-aryl β -amino alcohol 3a has been deprotected under hydrogenation conditions using Pd/C resulting in the formation of unprotected N-aryl β-amino alcohol 6a in 97% yield (eq 13). 25

In conclusion, we have reported the operationally simple, transition-metal-free, three-component coupling involving aziridines, arynes, and water promoted by TFA. The reaction afforded pharmaceutically relevant N-aryl β -amino alcohols in moderate to good yields.²⁵ In view of the importance of these compounds in medicine, the protocol presented herein may be a practical method to ac[ces](#page-6-0)s them.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Unless otherwise specified, all reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of argon in flame-dried reaction vessels with Teflon screw caps. 30 °C corresponds to the room temperature of the lab when the experiments were carried out. THF was freshly purified by distillation over Na-benzophenone and was transferred under argon. 18-Crown-6 was recrystallized from dry CH₃CN, and KF was dried by heating at 110 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h and left to cool under argon and stored in argon filled glovebox. Trifluoroacetic acid and potassium trifluoroacetate were purchased from commercial sources and used as received without any further purification. All the aziridines and azetidines were prepared following the literature procedure.²⁶ The 2-(trimethylsilyl)phenyl trifluoromethanesulfonate 1a and the other symmetric and unsymmetric aryne precursors were synthesize[d](#page-6-0) following a literature procedure.¹⁶ ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ as solvent. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm. The residual solvent signals were [u](#page-6-0)sed as references, and the chemical shifts were converted to the TMS scale (CDCl₃: $\delta H =$ 7.26 ppm, $\delta C = 77.16$ ppm). HRMS measurements were carried out using an ESI method and ion-trap mass analyzer. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on an FT-IR spectrometer as thin films using NaCl plates.

General Procedure for the TFA-Promoted Reaction of Aziridines, Arynes, and H_2O . To a flame-dried screw-capped test tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added KF (87 mg, 1.5 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (0.396 g, 1.5 mmol) inside a glovebox. THF (2.0 mL) was added outside the glovebox under an argon atmosphere. To this solution was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.057 g, 39 μ L, 0.50 mmol) with continued stirring for 5 min at 30 °C. After 5 min of stirring, aziridine 2 (0.5 mmol) was added. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to −10 °C and aryne precursor 1 (0.75 mmol) was added. After stirring for 10 min at −10 °C, H₂O (18 μ L, 1.0 mmol) was

added. Then the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to 30 °C and with maintained stirring for 12 h. After 12 h, the reaction was stopped, the solvent was evaporated, and the crude residue was preadsorbed on silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography (Pet. ether/ EtOAc = $95/05$) on silica gel to afford the corresponding 2-amino alcohols 3 in moderate to good yields. It may be mentioned that the reaction works well without glovebox techniques, maintaining the isolated yield of 3.

2-(Benzyl(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3a). Yellow oil, 0.109 g, 72%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 80/20): 0.51; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45−7.22 (m, 12H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.83 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 5.09 \text{ (t, } J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.69 \text{ (d, } J = 17.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 4.56 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.59 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.8, 142.2, 138. 5, 129.5, 128.7, 128.7, 128.0, 127.0, 126.8, 126.0, 117.5, 113.4, 72.1, 59.8, 55.4. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]$ + for C₂₁H₂₂NO: 304.1696; found: 304.1694. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3339, 3055, 2912, 2352, 1687, 1600, 1501.

2-(Butyl(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3b). Yellow oil, 0.101 g, 75%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.51; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47–7.40 (m, 4H), 7.36–7.28 (m, 3H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.00 (dd, $J_1 = 9.1$, $J_2 = 3.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, $J_1 = 14.7$, $J_2 = 4.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dd, $J_1 = 14.7$, $J_2 = 9.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.37−3.31 (m, 2H), 2.59 (s, 1H), 1.62−1.51 (m, 2H), 1.40− 1.31 (m, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.6, 142.1, 129.5, 128.7, 127.9, 126.0, 117.4, 113.8, 71.5, 60.2, 52.3, 28.8, 20.4, 14.1. **HRMS** (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^{+}$ for $C_{18}H_{24}NO$: 270.1852; found: 270.1863. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3357, 3055, 2304, 1710, 1598, 1500.

2-(Dodecyl(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3c). Yellow oil, 0.115 g, 60%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.55; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47–7.10 (m, 7H), 6.75 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.67 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (dd, J₁ = 8.9 Hz, J₂ = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.41 $(dd, J_1 = 14.7 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 4.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.31 \text{ (dd, } J_1 = 14.7 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 9.0 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 3.24−3.10 (m, 2H), 2.50 (s, 1H), 1.45 (s, 2H), 1.18 (s, 18H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.6, 142.1, 129.4, 128.6, 127.9, 126.0, 117.3, 113.8, 71.5, 60.1, 52.6, 32.1, 29.8, 29.6, 29.5, 27.2, 26.7, 22.8, 14.3. HRMS (ESI) calculated [M + H]+ for $C_{26}H_{40}NO: 382.3104$; found: 382.3107. FTIR (cm^{-1}) 3427, 3029, 2924, 2857, 1956, 1600, 1501.

2-(Isopropyl(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3d). Yellow oil, 0.086 g, 67%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.60; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59–7.34 (m, 7H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.80 (dd, $J_1 = 9.9$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.96 (dt, $J_1 =$ 13.2 Hz, J_2 = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dd, J_1 = 14.2 Hz, J_2 = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.16–3.10 (m, 2H), 1.31 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.05 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃ δ 148.9, 142.3, 129.2, 128.5, 127.7, 126.0, 120.5, 119.2, 70.3, 53.2, 53.1, 21.0, 19.1. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{17}H_{22}NO: 256.1696$; found: 256.1698. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3442, 3018, 2972, 1596, 1498.

2-(Cyclohexyl(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3e). Yellow oil, 0.118 g, 80%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.60; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48–7.32 (m, 7H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.86−4.69 (m, 1H), 3.60−3.40 (m, 2H), 3.17 (dd, $J_1 = 13.9$ Hz, $J_1 = 10.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.00 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 1.91 (d, $J = 12.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.80 (d, $J = 9.8$ Hz, 2H), 1.69 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.58–1.01 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.9, 142.3, 129.1, 128.5, 127.7, 126.0, 120.3, 119.1, 70.4, 62.0, 54.4, 31.6, 30.1, 26.2. **HRMS** (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^{+}$ for $C_{20}H_{26}NO$: 296.2009; found: 296.2008. FTIR (cm⁻¹) 3440, 3018, 2933, 2858, 2404, 1951, 1596, 1497.

2-(tert-Butyl(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3f). Yellow oil, 0.097 g, 72%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.45; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43–7.28 (m, 10H), 4.22 (d, J = 10.5 Hz,1H), 4.15 $(s, 1H)$, 3.36 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (t, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 1.20 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.3, 142.4, 129.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.4, 126.3, 126.0, 69.8, 57.6, 55.7, 28.5. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]$ ⁺ for $C_{18}H_{24}NO: 270.1852$; found: 270.1865. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3439, 3018, 2974, 2871, 1594, 1486.

2-(Adamantan-1-yl) (phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3g). Yellow solid, 0.122 g, 70%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10):

0.54; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37−7.24 (m, 10H), 4.15 (dd, $J_1 = 10.6$, $J_2 = 3.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.28 (dd, $J_1 = 12.9$, $J_2 = 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.09 (dd, $J_1 = 12.8$, $J_2 = 10.7$ Hz, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.86 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 3H), 1.62 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 3H), 1.54 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 146.6, 142.5, 130.3, 128.7, 128.3, 127.4, 126.3, 126.0, 69.8, 56.0, 55.7, 41.5, 36.6, 29.9. HRMS (ESI) calculated [M + H]⁺ for C₂₄H₃₀NO: 348.2322; found: 348.2328. FTIR (cm⁻¹) 3432, 3017, 2920, 2855, 1593, 1490.

2-((Furan-2-ylmethyl)(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3h). Yellow oil, 0.035 g, 48%; reaction performed in 0.25 mmol scale using TBAF as the fluoride source). R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.44; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.21 (m, 6H), 7.21–7.16 $(m, 2H)$, 6.87 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (dd, J₁ $= 3.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.07 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (dd, J₁ = 9.1) Hz, $J_1 = 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.41 (q, J = 16.7 Hz, 2H), 3.54–3.39 (m, 2H), 2.68 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.2, 148.8, 142.1, 141.9, 129.4, 128.7, 128.0, 126.0, 118.4, 114.3, 110.5, 107.8, 71.9, 60.3, 49.4. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^{+}$ for $C_{10}H_{20}NO_2$: 294.1489; found: 294.1490. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3386, 3020, 2926, 2400, 1599, 1505.

2-(Benzyl(phenyl)amino)-1-(4-bromophenyl)ethan-1-ol (3i). Yellow solid, 0.132 g, 69%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.59; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.51 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.18 (m, 9H), 6.89–6.80 (m, 3H), 5.00 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 3.60–3.58 (m, 2H), 2.58 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.7, 141.0, 138.2, 131.7, 129.5, 128.8, 127.7, 127.1, 126.8, 121.7, 117.8, 113.6, 71.4, 59.7, 55.6. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]$ + for $C_{21}H_{21}NOBr$: 382.0801; found: 382.0804. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3440, 3018, 2926, 2404, 1599, 1500.

2-(Benzyl(phenyl)amino)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-ol (3j). Yellow solid, 0.098 g, 61%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.45; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45–7.15 (m, 9H), 7.07 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.5 (d, J = 245.7 Hz), 148.8, 138.3, 137.8 (d, J = 2.7 Hz), 129.5, 128.8, 127.7 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 127.0, 126.8, 117.7, 115.5 (d, $J = 21.3$ Hz), 113.5, 71.4, 59.8, 55.5. **HRMS** (ESI) calculated $[M + H]$ ⁺ for $C_{21}H_{21}$ FNO: 322.1602; found: 322.1617. FTIR (cm^{-1}) 3431, 3018, 2920, 2404, 1600, 1504.

2-(Benzyl(phenyl)amino)-1-(o-tolyl)ethan-1-ol (3k). Yellow oil, 0.052 g, 66%; reaction performed in 0.25 mmol scale. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.48; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.63 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), $7.37 - 7.19$ (m, 10H), 6.95 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.83 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, $J_1 = 7.7$, $J_2 = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, $J = 17.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 3.67−3.65 (m, 2H), 2.47 (s, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.0, 140.2, 138.4, 134.7, 130.5, 129.4, 128.7, 127.7, 127.0, 126.9, 126.6, 125.9, 117.7, 113.6, 68.6, 58.5, 55.3, 19.4. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^{+}$ for $C_{22}H_{24}NO: 318.1852$; found: 318.1867. FTIR (cm^{-1}) 3552, 3018, 2935, 2406, 1599, 1500.

2-(Benzyl(phenyl)amino)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethan-1-ol (3l). Yellow oil, 0.112 g, 64%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.50; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.93–7.90 (m, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.54−7.48 (m, 3H), 7.35−7.20 (m, 7H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 3.88−3.78 (m, 2H), 2.65 (s, 1H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.1, 138.5, 138.0, 130.6, 129.5, 129.1, 128.8, 128.4, 127.1, 127.0, 126.2, 125.7, 123.8, 123.1, 117.8, 113.9, 69.4, 58.9, 55.5. **HRMS (ESI)** calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{25}H_{24}NO: 354.1852$; found: 354.1870. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3554, 3062, 2924, 1598, 1504.

3-(Benzyl(phenyl)amino)propane-1,2-diol (3m). Yellow oil, 0.117 g, 91%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 60/40): 0.54; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.26–7.18 (m, 5H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 6.75 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.66–4.57 (m, 2H), 4.06– 4.03 (m, 1H), 3.71 (dd, $J_1 = 11.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, $J_1 =$ 11.4 Hz, J_2 = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.92 (s, 1H), 2.68 (s, 1H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 148.8, 138.4, 129.4, 128.8, 127.0, 126.8, 117.5, 113.4, 69.9, 64.4, 55.5, 53.8. HRMS (ESI)

calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{16}H_{20}NO_2$: 258.1489; found: 258.1482. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3424, 3021, 2928, 2254, 1599, 1503.

1-(Benzyl(phenyl)amino)-5-phenyl-3-(phenylethynyl)pent-4-yne-2,3-diol (3n). White solid, 0.094 g, 82%; reaction performed in 0.25 mmol scale). R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 70/30): 0.46; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.36 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.24 (m, 12H), 7.18 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 3H), 7.08 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 6.78 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (dd, J₁ = 7.9 Hz, J_2 = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (dd, J_1 = 11.7 Hz, J_2 = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dd, J_1 = 11.6 Hz, J_2 = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (s, 1H), 2.04 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.0, 139.6, 131.0, 131.9, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.4, 128.4, 126.9, 126.8, 121.8, 121.7, 118.9, 116.4, 88.0, 87.7, 86.1, 85.8, 69.9, 66.7, 61.5, 49.2. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]$ ⁺ for C₃₂H₂₈NO₂: 458.2115; found: 458.2098. FTIR (cm⁻¹) 3413, 3020, 2403, 2239, 1598.

2-(Benzyl(3,4-dimethylphenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3o). Yellow oil, 0.050 g, 60%; reaction performed in 0.25 mmol scale. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.45; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44−7.38 (m, 10H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 6.68 (dd, $J_1 = 8.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.04 (dd, $J_1 = 8.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 4.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.57 (dd, $J_1 = 45.7$ Hz, $J_2 = 16.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.59 (qd, $J_1 = 14.8$ Hz, $J_2 =$ 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (s, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.23 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃ δ 147.2, 142.2, 138.7, 137.4, 130.5, 128.6, 128.6, 127.8, 127.0, 126.9, 125.98, 115.7, 111.7, 71.8, 60.0, 55.8, 20.5, 18.7. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{23}H_{26}NO: 332.2009$; found: 332.2006. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3445, 3018, 2927, 2405, 1955, 1878, 1611, 1569, 1507.

2-(Benzyl(2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-5-yl)amino)-1-phenylethan-**1-ol (3p).** Yellow oil, 0.091 g, 68%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.50; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.51 Hz, 3H), 7.28−7.22 (m, 3H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 6.73 (dd, $J_1 = 8.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.04 (dd, $J_1 = 8.5$ Hz, $J_2 =$ 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, $J = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, $J = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.59 $(qd, J_1 = 14.7 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$, 2.89 $(dd, J_1 = 16.7 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 7.7 \text{ Hz}$, 4H), 2.63 (s, 1H), 2.10 (p, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 148.0, 145.7, 142.2, 138.7, 133.8, 128.7, 128.6, 127.9, 127.1, 126.9, 126.0, 124.9, 112.5, 110.5, 71.8, 60.3, 56.2, 33.5, 32.0, 25.8. **HRMS** (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{24}H_{26}NO: 344.2009$; found: 344.2010. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3425, 3063, 3012, 2950, 2868, 2845, 1615, 1573, 1498.

2-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl(benzyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1 **ol (3q).** Yellow oil, 0.114 g, 66%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.46; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (d₁ J = 4.3 Hz, 4H), 7.36– 7.24 (m, 4H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.57 $(d, J = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.35 \text{ (dd, } J = 8.5, 2.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 5.89 \text{ (d, } J = 0.9 \text{ Hz},$ 2H), 4.96 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, $J = 16.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 3.54−3.42 (m, 2H), 2.81 (s, 1H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.5, 144.9, 142.0, 140.5, 138.3, 128.6, 128.6, 127.9, 127.3, 127.1, 126.0, 108.5, 107.7, 100.9, 98.4, 71.6, 60.9, 57.1. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{22}H_{22}NO_3$: 348.1594; found: 348.1604. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3442, 3019, 2886, 2404, 1621, 1496.

2-(Benzyl(3,4-difluorophenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3r). Yellow oil, 0.110 g, 65%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.48; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41–7.31 (m, 7H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (dd, J₁ = 19.1 Hz, J₂ = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (ddd, J₁ = 13.8 Hz, J₂ = 6.6 Hz, J₃ = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 6.49–6.47 (m, 1H), 5.01 (dd, $J_1 = 8.3$ Hz, $J_1 = 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, $J = 17.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (qd, J₁ = 15.1, J₂ = Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.8 (dd, J₁ = 244.6, J₂ = 13.4 Hz), 146.0 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 143.0 (dd, $J_1 = 236.9$, $J_2 = 12.7$ Hz), 141.9, 137.7, 128.9, 128.8, 128.2, 127.2, 126.7, 125.99, 117.5 (d, J = 17.3 Hz), 108.5, 102.4 (d, $J = 21.7$ Hz), 72.2, 60.1, 55.7. **HRMS (ESI)** calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{21}H_{20}F_2NO: 340.1507$; found: 340.1508. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3429, 3069, 3019, 2968, 2866, 2404, 1599, 1517.

2-(Benzyl(2,5-dimethylphenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol **(3s).** Yellow oil, 0.094 g, 57%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.53; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33–7.29 (m, 8H), 7.21–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.13 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.63 (dd, J₁ = 10.3, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (s, 1H), 3.29 (dd, $J_1 = 13.0$, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dd, $J_1 =$ 13.0, $J_2 = 10.3$ Hz, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.0, 142.1, 137.6, 136.3, 131.3, 129.4, 128.4, 128.4, 127.6, 127.5, 126.1, 125.7, 124.1, 70.4, 60.8, 60.2, 21.2, 18.2. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{23}H_{26}NO: 332.2009$; found: 332.2022. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3441, 3019, 2927, 2405, 1604, 1501.

2-(Benzyl(naphthalen-2-yl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3t). Yellow oil, 0.101 g, 57%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.57; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.26 (m, 13H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 5.17 (dd, $J_1 = 7.6$, $J_2 = 5.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 3.96– 3.60 (m, 2H), 2.66 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.6, 142.2, 138.3, 135.0, 129.2, 128.7, 128.0, 127.5, 127.4, 126.7, 126.4, 126.1, 122.5, 116.7, 107.5, 72.1, 59.7, 55.5. HRMS (ESI) calculated [M + H]⁺ for C₂₅H₂₄NO: 354.1852; found: 354.1855. **FTIR** (cm⁻¹) 3417, 3020, 2926, 2403, 1629, 1597, 1507.

2-Amino Alcohols 3u and 3u' (1:1). Yellow oil, 0.111 g, 70%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = $90/10$): 0.54; ¹H NMR of 3u (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46–7.21 (m, 10H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.25 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.67 Hz, 2H), 5.11−5.07 (m, 1H), 4.71−4.73 (m, 2H), 3.67−3.57 (m, 2H), 2.66 (s, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR of 3u (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.7, 142.1, 138.6, 129.3, 128.6, 127.9, 126.9, 126.9, 114.2, 110.7, 71.9, 59.7, 55.4, 20.4. ¹H NMR of 3u' (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46– 7.21 (m, 10H), 7.18 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.75−6.72 (m, 2H), 6.67 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.07−5.04 (m, 1H), 4.57−4.51 (m, 2H), 3.67−3.57 (m, 2H), 2.58 (s, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H). 13C NMR of 3u′ (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 148.9, 142.2, 139.1, 130.0, 128.7, 128.7, 127.9, 127.0, 126.0, 118.5, 114.1, 72.0, 60.1, 55.8, 22.1. **HRMS** (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^{+}$ for $C_{22}H_{24}NO: 318.18524$; found: 318.18527. FTIR (cm^{-1}) 3442, 3018, 2405, 1955, 1877, 1812, 1605, 1508.

2-Amino Alcohols 3v and 3v' (3:1). Yellow oil, 0.104 g, 65%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = $90/10$): 0.47; ¹H NMR of Major isomer 3v $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.42–7.14 (m, 10H), 6.96 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.83−6.80 (m, 2H), 4.99 (dd, J = 7.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.68−4.47 (m, 2H), 3.61–3.52 (m, 2H), 2.57 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR of Major isomer 3v (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.4, 150.5 (d, J = 10.4 Hz), 142.0, 138.2, 130.5 (d, J = 10.4 Hz), 128.8, 127.2, 127.1, 126.0, 115.9, 115.7, 103.6 $(d, J = 22.1 \text{ Hz})$, 100.1 $(d, J = 25.3 \text{ Hz})$, 72.0, 60.6, 56.4. ¹H NMR of Minor isomer 3v′ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42–7.14 (m, 11H), 6.60 $(dd, J_1 = 8.3 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 1.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.54 \text{ (d, } J = 12.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.46 \text{ (t, } J$ = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H),), 4.68−4.47 (m, 2H), 3.71−3.64 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 1H). Representative 13C NMR peaks of Minor isomer 3v' (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.0, 137.9, 128.2, 128.1, 126.6, 115.5 (d, J = 7.2 Hz), 108.6, 72.3, 59.6, 55.2. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{21}H_{21}$ FNO: 322.1602; found: 322.1604. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3245, 3018, 2926, 2404, 1892, 1600, 1504.

Procedure for the TFA-Promoted Reaction of Azetidine, Arynes, and H_2O . To a flame-dried screw-capped test tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added KF (87 mg, 1.5 mmol) and 18 crown-6 (0.396 g, 1.5 mmol) inside a glovebox. THF (2.0 mL) was added outside the glovebox under an argon atmosphere. To this solution was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.057 g, 39 μ L, 0.50 mmol) with continued stirring for 5 min at 30 °C. After 5 min of stirring, 1benzyl-2-phenylazetidine 4a (0.112 g, 0.5 mmol) was added. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to -10 °C and 1 (0.75 mmol) was added. After stirring for 10 min at −10 °C, H₂O (18 μ L, 1.0 mmol) was added. Then the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to 30 °C with continued stirring for 12 h. After 12 h, the reaction was stopped, the solvent was evaporated, and the crude residue was preadsorbed on silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography (Pet. ether/ EtOAc = 93/7) on silica gel to afford the product as a yellow oil 5. It may be mentioned that the reaction works well without glovebox techniques maintaining the isolated yield of 5.

3-(Benzyl(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylpropan-1-ol (5a). Yellow oil, 0.113 g, 71%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 80/20): 0.40; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38–7.20 (m, 12H), 6.77–6.72 (m, 7.7 Hz, 3H), 4.77 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 3.65−3.51 (m, 2H), 2.28 (s, 1H), 2.10 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.2 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 148.7, 144.5, 139.0, 129.3, 128.7, 127.8, 126.9, 126.9, 125.8, 116.8, 113.1, 72.9, 55.1, 48.2, 36.3. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]$ ⁺ for C₂₂H₂₄NO: 318.1852; found: 318.1847. FTIR $\text{(cm}^{-1}\text{)}$ 3425, 3060, 2926, 2253, 1640, 1601, 1501.

3-(Benzyl(naphthalen-2-yl)amino)-1-phenylpropan-1-ol (5g). Yellow oil, 0.094 g, 51%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 80/20): 0.45; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.77–7.66 (m, 4H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.18 (m, 9H), 7.14–7.11 (m, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 4.79 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 3.71−3.57 (m, 2H), 2.33–2.11 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 146.5, 144.5, 138.8, 135.1, 129.1, 128.7, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 127.1, 127.0, 126.4, 126.3, 125.9, 124.1, 122.9, 122.2, 116.6, 105.0, 72.9, 55.2, 48.2, 36.3. **HRMS** (ESI) calculated $[M + H]$ ⁺ for C₂₆H₂₆NO: 368.2009; found: 368.2005. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3422, 3055, 2929, 2254, 1641, 1610.

(R)-2-(Butyl(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol ((R)-3b). Yellow oil, 0.098 g, 73%. R_f (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 90/10): 0.51; er = 98:2, $[\alpha]_{D}^{26} = -11.2$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃), HPLC (Chiralpak AD, 95:05 (Hexane/IPA, 1.0 mL/min), Major: 8.7 min, Minor: 8.1 min. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47–7.40 (m, 4H), 7.37–7.29 (m, 3H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (dd, J₁ = 8.9 Hz, $J_2 = 4.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, $J_1 = 14.7$ Hz, $J_2 = 4.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.45 (dd, J_1 $= 14.7$ Hz, $J_2 = 9.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.37–3.30 (m, 2H), 2.67 (s, 1H), 1.62– 1.55 (m, 7.7, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 1.39−1.33 (m, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 148.5, 142.1, 129.4, 128.6, 127.9, 126.0, 117.3, 113.8, 71.5, 60.1, 52.3, 28.8, 20.4, 14.1. HRMS (ESI) calculated $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{18}H_{24}NO: 270.1852$; found: 270.1855. FTIR (cm[−]¹) 3451, 3018, 2958, 2872, 2404, 1598, 1501.

Gram Scale Synthesis of 2-(benzyl(phenyl)amino)-1-phenylethan-1-ol. An oven-dried round bottomed flask with a magnetic stir bar was charged with KF (0.870 g, 15.0 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (3.96 g, 15.0 mmol) inside a glovebox. THF (20 mL) was added outside of the glovebox under an argon atmosphere. To this solution was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.570 g, 0.390 μ L, 5.0 mmol) with continued stirring for 5 min at 30 °C. After 5 min of stirring, 1-benzyl-2 phenylaziridine 2a (1.05 g, 5.0 mmol) was added. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to −10 °C and 2-(trimethylsilyl)phenyl trifluoromethanesulfonate 1a (2.23 g, 1.82 mL, 7.5 mmol) was added. After stirring for 10 min at −10 °C, H₂O (180 μ L, 10.0 mmol) was added. Then the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to 30 °C with stirring maintained for 12 h. After 12 h the reaction was stopped, the solvent was evaporated, and the crude residue was preadsorbed on silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography (Pet. ether/EtOAc = 95/05) on silica gel to afford the 2-(benzyl(phenyl)amino)-1 phenylethan-1-ol as yellow oil 3a (1.05 g, 69% yield). It may be mentioned that the reaction works well without glovebox techniques, maintaining the isolated yield of 3.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01798.

> Details on mechanistic experiments, copies of $^1\mathrm{H}$ and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ [NMR spectra of al](http://pubs.acs.org)l products, HPLC data for (R) -3b (PDF)

■ A[UTHO](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01798/suppl_file/jo5b01798_si_001.pdf)R INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: at.biju@ncl.res.in.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no co](mailto:at.biju@ncl.res.in)mpeting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Generous financial support from the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB-DST), Government of India (Grant No. SR/S1/OC/12/2012) is kindly acknowledged. T.R. thanks DST for the Inspire fellowship, and D.R.B. thanks UGC for the junior research fellowship. We thank Dr. P. R. Rajamohanan for the excellent NMR support and Ms. B. Santhakumari for the HRMS data.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews, see: (a) Anaya de Parrodi, C.; Juaristi, E. Synlett 2006, 2006, 2699. (b) Bergmeier, S. C. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2561. (c) Ager, D. J.; Prakash, I.; Schaad, D. R. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 835. (d) Reetz, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1531.

(2) Desai, P. H.; Salvi, N. J.; Patravale, B. S.; Seetharaman, S.; Patil, D. J.; Ghogare, K. S. U.S. Pat. Appl. US 8,063,251 B2.

(3) (a) Effenberger, F.; Jäger, J. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3867. (b) Wetterlin, K. J. Med. Chem. 1972, 15, 1182.

(4) Stahlberg, J.; Henriksson, H.; Divne, C.; Isaksson, R.; Pettersson, G.; Johansson, G.; Jones, T. A. J. Mol. Biol. 2001, 305, 79.

(5) (a) Desimoni, G.; Faita, G.; Quadrelli, P. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 3119. (b) Nishiyama, H.; Sakaguchi, H.; Nakamura, T.; Horihata, M.; Kondo, M.; Itoh, K. Organometallics 1989, 8, 846.

(6) (a) Evans, D. A.; Kim, A. S. In Chiral Reagents for Asymmetric Synthesis; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 2003; p 57. (b) Ager, D. J.; Prakash, I.; Schaad, D. R. Aldrichimica Acta 1997, 30, 3.

(7) (a) Struble, J. R.; Bode, J. W. Org. Synth. 2010, 87, 362. (b) Vora, H. U.; Lathrop, S. P.; Reynolds, N. T.; Kerr, M. S.; Read de Alaniz, J.; Rovis, T. Org. Synth. 2010, 87, 350.

(8) For reviews, see: (a) Stankovic, S.; D'hooghe, M.; Catak, S.; Eum, ́ H.; Waroquier, M.; Van Speybroeck, V.; De Kimpe, N.; Ha, H.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 643. (b) Hu, X. E. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 2701.

(9) For selected reports, see: (a) Shivani; Pujala, B.; Chakraborti, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3713. (b) Liu, G.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7179. (c) Sello, G.; Orsini, F.; Bernasconi, S.; Gennaro, P. D. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 372. (d) Azoulay, S.; Manabe, K.; Kobayashi, S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4593. (e) Azizi, N.; Saidi, M. R. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3649.

(10) Fagnou, K.; Lautens, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2319.

(11) (a) Job, G. E.; Buchwald, S. L. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3703. For selected reports on amino alcohol synthesis using hydroamination of alkenes, see: (b) Nakamura, Y.; Ohta, T.; Oe, Y. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 7459. (c) Barry, C. S.; Simpkins, N. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 8192.

(12) For recent reviews on aryne chemistry, see: (a) Dubrovskiy, A. V.; Markina, N. A.; Larock, R. C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 191. (b) Wu, C.; Shi, F. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2, 116. (c) Pérez, D.; Peña, D.; Guitián, E. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 2013, 5981. (d) Tadross, P. M.; Stoltz, B. M. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 3550. (e) Gampe, C. M.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3766. (f) Bhunia, A.; Yetra, S. R.; Biju, A. T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3140. (g) Okuma, K. Heterocycles 2012, 85, 515. (h) Yoshida, H.; Ohshita, J.; Kunai, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2010, 83, 199. For a review on hetarynes, see: (i) Goetz, A. E.; Shah, T. K.; Garg, N. K. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 34. (13) For a related aziridine opening using water, see: (a) Gaebert, C.; Mattay, J. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 14297. For highlights on aryne three-

component couplings, see: (b) Bhojgude, S. S.; Biju, A. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1520. (c) Bhunia, A.; Biju, A. T. Synlett 2014, 25, 608.

(14) (a) Giumanini, A. G. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 513. For the reaction of arynes with 1-azirines, see: (b) Nair, V.; Kim, K. H. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 3784.

(15) (a) Stephens, D.; Zhang, Y.; Cormier, M.; Chavez, G.; Arman, H.; Larionov, O. V. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 6558. By performing the TFA promoted reaction in CH₃CN, 3a was observed in 20% yield (Table 1, entry 13). However, the $CH₃CN$ incorporated product reported by Larionov was not observed under these conditions. Notably, the present work differs from the Larionov protocol in the [use](#page-1-0) [of](#page-1-0) H_2O H_2O as a third component and the crucial role of TFA in promoting this reaction. For related work on the use of H_2O as a third component in aryne coupling, see: (b) Kaicharla, T.; Thangaraj, M.; Biju, A. T. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1728. (c) Rigby, J. H.; Laurent, S. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6742.

(16) (a) Himeshima, Y.; Sonoda, T.; Kobayashi, H. Chem. Lett. 1983, 1211. See also: (b) Peña, D.; Cobas, A.; Pérez, D.; Guitián, E. Synthesis 2002, 1454.

(17) (a) Tang, C.-Y.; Wang, G.; Yang, X.-Y.; Wu, X.-Y.; Sha, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 6447.

(18) For a review on the applications of TFA in organic synthesis, see: López, S. E.; Salazar, J. J. Fluorine Chem. 2013, 156, 73.

(19) For details, see the Supporting Information.

(20) For related three-component couplings, see: (a) Bhunia, A.; Roy, T.; Pachfule, P.; Rajamohanan, P. R.; Biju, A. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10040. ([b\) Bhunia, A.; Porwal, D](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01798/suppl_file/jo5b01798_si_001.pdf).; Gonnade, R. G.; Biju, A. T. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4620. (c) Nawaz, F.; Mohanan, K.; Charles, L.; Rajzmann, M.; Bonne, D.; Chuzel, O.; Rodriguez, J.; Coquerel, Y. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 17578. (d) Liu, P.; Lei, M.; Hu, L. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 10405. (e) Jeganmohan, M.; Bhuvaneswari, S.; Cheng, C.-H. Chem. - Asian J. 2010, 5, 153. (f) Sha, F.; Huang, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 3458. (g) Okuma, K.; Hino, H.; Sou, A.; Nagahora, N.; Shioji, K. Chem. Lett. 2009, 38, 1030. (h) Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C.-H. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2454.

(21) When the reaction was performed under the optimized conditions in the absence of the aryne precursor 1a, the amino alcohol J (Scheme 3) was not observed, and the aziridine 2a was recovered in 80% yield. Moreover, the observation of product 3a in 28% yield even in the absence of TFA (Table 1, entry 1) sheds light on the initial [addition o](#page-2-0)f aziridines to arynes (via the aziridine−aryne zwitterion).

(22) For efficient N-arylation and O-[arylation](#page-1-0) using arynes, see: Liu, Z.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3198.

(23) Performing the reaction under optimized conditions using THF d_8 afforded 3a in 69% yield with no deuterium incorporation.

(24) The reaction of aziridine 2a with aryne generated from 1a in the absence of H_2O did not afford the expected product 3a. This also indicates the role of H_2O in the reaction. However, when the reaction mixture was exposed to air, the reaction proceeds slowly presumably due to the moisture content in the air.

(25) For details on data for 6a, see: Mamillapalli, N. C.; Sekar, G. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 7881.

(26) (a) Dou, X. Y.; He, L. N.; Yang, Z. Z.; Wang, J. L. Synlett 2010, 2010, 2159. (b) Drouillat, B.; d'Aboville, E.; Bourdreux, F.; Couty, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2014, 1103.